UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,518	08/01/2005	Patrick D Kane	0289713.00123US3	8868
23483 WILMERHAL I	7590 02/23/200 E/BOSTON	9	EXAMINER	
60 STATE STR BOSTON, MA			KISHORE, GOLLAMUDI S	
bos ion, MA	02109		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1612	
			Nomera a month of the	DEL MEDITA CODE
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/23/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

michael.mathewson@wilmerhale.com teresa.carvalho@wilmerhale.com sharon.matthews@wilmerhale.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/510,518	KANE, PATRICK D				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph.D	1612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		secution as to the m	erits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner					
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•		, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		on No				
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pri			age			
application from the International Bure	·		Ü			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-7-04.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ratent Application				
. spo(0)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

Application/Control Number: 10/510,518 Page 2

Art Unit: 1612

DETAILED ACTION

Claims included in the prosecution are 1-16.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 3, 10-13 and 16are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The examiner suggests the deletion of 'including' in claim 3. 'any' is an indefinite term. Specific methods should be recited.

'any composition renders claim 10 indefinite; specific compositions should be recited.

It is unclear as to what 'polysorbate 80/85 is intended to convey in claim 11.

It should be 'said condition in claim 9' in claim 13.

What is being conveyed in claim 16? What are the conditions to be treated?, 'What is being conveyed by 'influenced'? influenced by what? 'The examiner suggests the deletion of 'including' and amend the claim to recite clearly the conditions to be treated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/510,518 Page 3

Art Unit: 1612

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schneider (6,258,378) of record.

Schneider discloses a method of delivery of biologically active substances encapsulated in liposomes or microparticles and applying ultrasound. Antibodies are attached to the surface of the liposomes to selective targeting (col. 2, line 62 through col. 6, line 67, Examples and claims).

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mitragotri (5,814,599).

Mitragotri discloses transdermal delivery of active agents encapsulated in liposomes or microparticles and applying ultrasound (col. 4, line 55 through col. 6, line 11, examples and claims).

6. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Morrison (6,099,864).

Morrison discloses a method of delivery of biologically active substances encapsulated in liposomes or microcapsules and applying various forms of energy such as light, microwave and ultrasound (col. 5, line 60 through col. 11, line 6, Examples and claims).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/510,518 Page 4

Art Unit: 1612

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneider or Morrison cited above in view of Micklus (200/0025313) or vice versa.

Schneider as discussed above discloses a method of delivery of biologically active substances encapsulated in liposomes or microparticles and applying ultrasound. Antibodies are attached to the surface of the liposomes to selective targeting (col. 2, line 62 through col. 6, line 67, Examples and claims). Schneider however, does not teach that the antibodies to be anti-transferrin antibodies to carry the liposomes across the blood-brain barrier.

Morrison as discussed above discloses a method of delivery of biologically active substances encapsulated in liposomes or microcapsules and applying various forms of energy such as light, microwave and ultrasound (col. 5, line 60 through col. 11, line 6, Examples and claims). Morrison however, does not teach that the antibodies to be antitransferrin antibodies to carry the liposomes across the blood-brain barrier.

Micklus teaches that drugs can be transported across the blood-barrier using liposomes attached to anti-transferrin antibodies. The therapeutic agents include those used for the treatment of Parkinson's disease, conditions associated with pain, disorders of movement, cognition and behavior and chemotherapeutic agents (0009-0036, Examples and claims). What is lacking in Micklus is the use of ultrasound to release the active agent from the liposomes.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use anti

transferrin antibodies as the specific antibodies taught by Schneider or attach antibodies to the liposomes of Morrison since such a use would enable the liposomes cross the blood-brain barrier if the conditions to be treated are such as Parkinson's disease, pain management and others as taught by Micklus. Alternately, to use ultrasound to the liposomes of Micklus would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art since Schneider teaches that the active agents are released by such treatment. Although Schneider, Morrison, and Micklus do not teach viral vectors (nucleic acids) or gene therapy since they teach therapeutic agents in general and since nucleic acids are well-known in the art as therapeutic agents, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use these therapeutic agents with a reasonable expectation of success.

9. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mitragotri (5,814,599).

Mitragotri as discussed above discloses transdermal delivery of active agents encapsulated in liposomes or microparticles and applying ultrasound. The therapeutic agents include nucleic acids (col. 4, line 55 through col. 6, line 11, examples and claims). Mitragotri however, does not specifically teach that the nucleic acids are viral in origin. In the absence of showing the criticality, it is deemed obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use viral nucleic acids in Mitragotri with a reasonable expectation of success.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph.D whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 1612

(571) 272-0598. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM- 4 PM, alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Krass Frederick can be reached on (571) 272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gollamudi S Kishore/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612

GSK